

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
€_10/089,120	03/22/2002	Yutaka Tokiwa	11283-018001	9082
26211	7590 04/22/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			EXAMINER	
45 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,	ELLER PLAZA, SUITE 2 NY 10111	2800	AFREMOV	A, VERA
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	ン

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/089,120

Applicant(s)

Tokiwa

Examiner

Vera Afremova

Art Unit 1651



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
	for Reply		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE1	MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event however may a re-	nly be timely filed efter SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing	date of this communication.		
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply		
	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	• •	
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		,,
Status			•
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 22, 2	2002	·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>		is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		
8) 💢	Claims 1-18	are subje	ect to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted or	b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Irawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🕽	All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.	
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in A	Application No
	3. X Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	1)).
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies no	t received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e).
a)[The translation of the foreign language provision	al application has bee	en received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	tice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drewing Review (PTO-948)		atent Application (PTO-152)
3) [X] Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)3	6) Uther:	

Application/Control Number: 10/089,120

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-18 are pending and subject to restriction requirement.

Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17, drawn to a method for degrading polylactic resins with actinomycetes.

Group, claim(s) 18, drawn to a preparation containing actinomycetes.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the corresponding special technical feature such as actinomycetes capable to degrade polylactic resins are known in the art, for example: see US 5,925,556 [IDS-AA] at col. 2, lines 50-51 and table 1-5.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Saccharothrix, Streptoalloteichus, Kibdelosporangium, Lentzea, Actinokineospora, Saccharomonospora, Saccharopolyspora and Actinopolyspora.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,120

Art Unit:

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Saccharothrix - claim 2; Streptoalloteichus - claims 4 and 5, Kibdelosporangium - claims 6 and 7, Lentzea - claims 8 and 9, Actinokineospora - claims 10 and 11, Saccharomonospora - claims 12 and 13, Saccharopolyspora - claims 14 and 15; Actinopolyspora - claims 16 and 17.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 3 and 18.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the corresponding special technical feature such as various representatives of actinomycetes capable to degrade polylactic resins including the claimed actinomycetes such as, for example: *Saccharothrix* and *Streptoalloteichus*, are known in the art, See table 1-5 of US 5,925,556 [IDS-AA].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (703) 308-9351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00 to 5:30.

Art Unit:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Vera Afremova

Art Unit 1651

April 18, 2003

VERA AFREMOVA

PATENT EXAMINER

V. Afren